

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,094	05/19/2006	Alois Tanner	06-240	7457
34704 7590 93/31/2008 BACHMAN & LAPOINTE, P.C. 900 CHAPEL STREET			EXAMINER	
			TAWFIK, SAMEH	
SUITE 1201 NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3721	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/31/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/580.094 TANNER, ALOIS Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Sameh H. Tawfik -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 February 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 2-9.11 and 12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 2-9,11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

| Attachment(s) | Attachment(s

Art Unit: 3721

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 12, 2, 6-9, and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lyon et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,146,847).

Lyon discloses a method for banding element (13) as been disclosed by the claimed invention, see for example Fig. 2; comprising a pre-selection loop of the package band being pulled back to a pre-selected loop length in the reverse movement with an aid of an electronic control system (column 3, lines 51-54; via "controller means 34 monitors the reversing tension of the strap 18 about the item 13 and stops the drive motor 32 when the tension equals a <a href="mailto:predetermined tension">predetermined tension</a>"; note that the "predetermined tension" is equivalent to the claimed "preselected loop length" since both are referring to reversing the band certain length; fixing the package band after the "preselected loop length" has been reached (via fixing the band element 13 after the predetermined tension is reached).

Regarding claim 2: rotary encoder roller ends the reverse movement of the package band, see for example Figs. 1 and 2.

Regarding claim 6: if the loop length is not reached, the process is interrupted and a fault is indicated, see for example Figs. 3-4B.

Art Unit: 3721

Regarding claims 7 and 8: a maximum/minimum band tension can be selected by the control system (column 3, lines 51-54; via "a predetermined tension"; could be max or min.)

Regarding claim 9: wherein "a printed package band" is positioned with a control system, see for example Figs. 1 and 2.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lyon et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,146,847).

Lyon discloses that the loop length is predetermined by automatic measuring values of the packed items (13), the data is entered and selectably stored for the digital control system (column 6. lines 52-59).

Lyon does not disclose determining the length by measuring the stacked goods.

However, the examiner takes an official notice that such measuring values of the packed items 13 of Lyon is equivalent to stacked goods and Lyon is capable of measuring a stacked goods instead of items 13.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have substituted Lyon's item 13 by stacked goods, as a matter of Application/Control Number: 10/580,094

Art Unit: 3721

engineering design choice, in order to make the packing method capable of handling more packing materials/stacks.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 01/30/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argue that the applied reference of Lyon '847 does not disclose the claimed invention of there is no tensioning after reaching a predetermined loop length as the band is fixed. The examiner believes that applicant argues about something not been claimed. The examiner maintains that broadly considering the claimed "fixing the package band after the preselected loop length" is clearly disclosed by '847 as after pulling back the band to a predetermined tension the band been fixed to the stack.

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3721

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sameh H. Tawfik whose telephone number is 571-272-4470. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 7:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on 571-272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sameh H. Tawfik/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3721

ST